UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In Re: AUTOMOTIVE WIRE HARNESS SYSTEMS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

This Document Relates to:

All Automobile Dealer Actions

Hammett Motor Co., Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al., No. 12-10688;

Landers Auto Group No. 1, Inc. d/b/a Landers Toyota v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al., No. 12-10676;

Superstore Automotive, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al., No. 12-10687;

Martens Cars of Washington, Inc. v. Furukawa Electric Co., et al., 12-10681 12-md-02311

Judge Marianne O. Battani Magistrate Mona K. Majzoub

ORDER APPOINTING INTERIM CO-LEAD AND LIAISON COUNSEL

WHEREAS, on February 7, 2012, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") transferred a related civil action to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407.¹

WHEREAS, on February 9, 2012 the JPML issued its first Conditional Transfer Order in this Multidistrict Litigation ("MDL") and transferred the following sixteen actions to this Court:

¹ Lucha Bott, et al. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al., Case No. 3:11-04949 (N.D. Cal.)(Filed October 6, 2011)

```
ALS 11-00696
                   Sirmon, et al. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
ARE 11-00757
                   Landers Auto Group No. 1, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
CAN 11-05057
                   Nicoud v. Furukawa Electric Company LTD, et al.
CAN 11-05477
                   Budner, et al. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
CAN 12-00258
                   Maravilla, et al. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
CAN 11-05301
                   Kelly, et al. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
                   Martens Cars of Washington, Inc. v. Furukawa Electric Co., et al.
DC
     11-01892
LAE 11-03177
                   Muscara v. Delphi Automotive, LLP, et al.
LAE 12-00003
                   Brock, et al. v. Delphi Automotive, LLP, et al.
LAE 12-00048
                   Keifer v. Delphi Automotive, LLP, et al.
LAE 12-00062
                   Byrne, et al. v. Delphi Automotive, LLP, et al.
LAE 12-00171
                   Josefsberg v. Delphi Automotive, LLP, et al.
MN
      11-03092
                   Superstore Automotive, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive, LLP, et al.
MSS
     11-00647
                   Hammett Motor Company, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
PR
      11-02176
                   Crespo v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
WVS 12-00079
                   Nickell v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.;
```

WHEREAS, four of these transferred actions are brought by, and on behalf of, automobile dealers as opposed to individual end-payors. These actions are:

ARE	11-00757	Landers Auto Group Number 1 v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
DDC	11-01892	Martens Cars of Washington, Inc. v. Furukawa Electric Co., et al.
MN	11-03092	Superstore Automotive, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.
MSS	11-00647	Hammett Motor Company, Inc. v. Delphi Automotive LLP, et al.

WHEREAS, on February 17, 2012, this Court issued an Order Setting Initial Status Conference which set a deadline of March 8, 2012 for Counsel to apply for lead counsel;

WHEREAS, on February 27, 2012, Plaintiffs in the above-listed automobile dealer cases filed a Motion to Consolidate Cases Pursuant to Rule 42(a), For Leave to File Automobile Dealers Consolidated Class Complaint Instanter and To Appoint Interim Class Counsel.

WHEREAS, the allegations and claims brought by the automobile dealer plaintiffs: (1) Hammett Motor Co., (2) Landers Auto Group No. 1, Inc., (3) Superstore Automotive, Inc., (4) Martens Cars of Washington, Inc., are brought individually and on behalf of classes of all other similarly situated automobile dealers against Defendants for damages resulting

from Defendants' unlawful anticompetitive actions in the market for Automobile Wire Harness Systems and related products;

WHEREAS, in order to promote judicial economy, avoid duplication, and prevent a conflict of interest between the classes of indirect purchasers, Plaintiffs in the automobile dealer actions have moved (i) to consolidate the cases under F.R.C.P. Rule 42(a), (ii) for leave to file the proposed Automobile Dealers Consolidated Class Complaint *instanter*, and (iii) for appointment of lead counsel for the proposed class of automobile dealer plaintiffs pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g)(3);

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. This Order shall apply to the actions listed above and each related case brought by automobile dealer indirect purchasers that is subsequently filed in or transferred to this Court ("Automobile Dealer Actions") unless a party objects within fourteen (14) days of notice of this Order.
- 2. The terms of this Order shall not have the effect of making any person, firm, or corporation a party to any action in which they have not been named, served, or added as such in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), the Court appoints the following as interim Co-Lead Counsel for the Automobile Dealer Actions and proposed class of dealer indirect purchasers. Co-Lead Counsel may act on behalf of the proposed class and plaintiffs in all Automobile Dealer Actions:

Jonathan W. Cuneo, Esq. Cuneo Gilbert & LaDuca, LLP 507 C Street, N.E. Washington, DC 20002 Don Barrett, Esq. Barrett Law Group, P.A. P.O. Box 927 404 Court Square North Lexington, MS 39095

Shawn M. Raiter, Esq. Larson • King, LLP 2800 Wells Fargo Place 30 East Seventh Street St. Paul, MN 55101

- 4. Co-lead Counsel shall have sole authority over the following matters on behalf of the putative class and all Automobile Dealer Actions: (a) convening meetings of counsel; (b) initiation, response, scheduling, briefing and argument of all motions; (c) the scope, order and conduct of all discovery proceedings; (d) such work assignments to other counsel as they may deem appropriate; (e) the retention of experts; (f) designation of which attorneys may appear at hearings and conferences with the Court; (g) the timing and substance of any settlement negotiations with Defendants; (h) other matters concerning the prosecution of or resolution of their respective cases.
- 5. Only Co-Lead Counsel or Liaison Counsel may initiate or file any motions in Automobile Dealer Actions.
- 6. No settlement negotiation shall be initiated or settlement agreement entered into on behalf of the proposed Automobile Dealer Classes except through Automobile Dealer Interim Class Counsel.
- 7. Co-Lead Counsel have sole authority to communicate with Defendants' counsel and the Court on behalf of all Automobile Dealer Actions. Defendants' counsel

may rely on all agreements made with Co-Lead Counsel and such agreements shall be

binding on all counsel in the Automobile Dealer Actions.

8. Co-Lead Counsel shall reasonably coordinate activities in the Automobile

Dealer Actions to the extent appropriate and practicable. That coordination shall include

avoiding duplication and inefficiency in the filing, serving, and/or implementation of

pleadings, other court papers, discovery papers, and discovery. Nothing in this Order shall

be construed to place limitations on the number of interrogatories any Plaintiff may serve

upon any other party beyond those imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Plaintiffs shall make reasonable efforts to avoid duplicative interrogatories and requests for

production.

9. Co-Lead Counsel shall coordinate when scheduling depositions so as to avoid,

to the extent practicable, subjecting the same witness to more than one deposition. Nothing

in this order should be construed to place limitations on the ability of a party to examine a

witness at a deposition beyond those imposed by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The

parties shall avoid duplicative questioning.

10. Co-Lead Counsel shall coordinate with counsel for the indirect purchaser

consumer cases and direct purchaser cases.

11. The Court also hereby appoints the following as Liaison Counsel:

Gerard V. Mantese, Esq.

Mantese Honigman Rossman and Williamson, P.C.

1361 E. Big Beaver Road

Troy, Michigan 48083

5

2:12-md-02311-MOB-MKM Doc # 64 Filed 03/23/12 Pg 6 of 6 Pg ID 732

12. Liaison Counsel shall have the administrative duty of receiving and sending

pleadings and communications with the Court and with Defendants and disseminating the

same among class counsel.

All counsel in the Automobile Dealer Actions shall avoid duplication and 13.

inefficiency. All parties retain the right to object to duplicative discovery.

14. Dealer Actions, the indirect purchaser consumer actions, and the direct

purchaser actions.

15. All plaintiffs' counsel in the Automobile Dealer Actions must keep

contemporaneous time records and periodically submit records and expenses to Co-Lead

Counsel or their designee.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 23, 2012

s/Marianne O. Battani

Honorable Marianne O. Battani

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the above date a copy of this Order was served upon all

Counsel of record, via the Court's ECF Filing System.

s/Bernadette M. Thebolt

Case Manager

6